

PROCEEDING OF THE NINTH SESSION OF THE SECOND MIZORAM STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY FROM 1.10.1991 TO 7.10.1991.

FOR SECOND SITTING ON THURSDAY THE 3RD OCTOBER, 1991.

PRESENT

PU ROKAMLOVA, Speaker at the chair, 9 (nine) Ministers and 27 (Twenty seven) Members were present.

QUESTIONS

1. Questions entered in seperate list to be asked and answers given.

LAYING OF PAPERS

2. PU ANDREW LALHERLIANA to lay on the Table action taken by the Government of the Action Taken Report of Committee on Estimate contained in its fourth Report relating to Public Works Department.
3. Dr H.THANSANGA to lay on the Table of a copy of the Mizoram Legislative Assembly (Grant of loan and Advances to members) (Amendment) Rules, 1991.

PRESENTATION OF REPORTS

4. PU ZOSIAMA PACHUAU to present to the House the 28th, 29th, 30th, 31st, 32nd, 33rd, 34th, and 35th Report of the Public Accounts Committee.
5. PU ANDREW LALHERLIANA to present to the House the 6th, 7th and 8th Reports of the Committee of Estimates.
6. PU P.C.ZORAMSANGLIANA to present to the House the 3rd Report of the Committee on Petition.
7. PU VAIVENGA to present to the House the 4th Report of the Committee on Government Assurances.

S P E A K E R : A good name is to be chosen rather than great riches ; and favour is better than silver or gold.

The rich and the poor meet together ; the Lord is the maker of them all. A prudent man sees danger and Ladies himself; but the simple go on, and suffer for it. The reward for humility and fear of the Lord is riches and honour and life .

(Proverbs 22:1-4)

Now we will begin our question hour, and let us invite Pu T.C.Pachhunga to raise his question No. 26.

PU T.C.PACHHUNGA : Mr Speaker sir, I ask my question No-26.
Will the Hon'ble Minister in-charge Trade Commerce Department be pleased to state -
(a) What are the steps taken up by the Government regarding Border Trade ?
(b) Are there any fush proposals ?

PU P.SIAMLIANA MINISTER : (a) Mr Speaker Sir, regarding Indo-Myanmar (Burma) Border trade, the Trade & Commerce Department , Government of Mizoram has taken this matter to the Ministry of Home affairs, Government of India, New Delhi. Si it is being considered now.
(b) As stated earlier, this matter is being taken up by the Centre., and there are no new proposals so far .

PU T.C.PACHHUNGA : Mr Speaker Sir, supplementary questions - as the Mizoram river Chhimtuipui flows to the Bay of Bengal , it is very ideal for Border Trade. Is there any intention to use this route ? Regarding marketing of local crops, are there any other countries contacted by the Government apart from Burma and Bangladesh ?

PU VANLALNGENA : Mr Speaker Sir, to established a Border Trade, there should be an agreement between two Countries which country disagree, Burma, Bangladesh or India ? What is the opinion of the centre in this matter ?

PU P.SIAMLIANA : Mr Speaker Sir, according to
MINISTER the opinion of our leaders , the
reason Bangladesh gave no res-
pond to our request is due to
their internal trouble, and if our local products are mar-
keted there, the position of their farmer might be at stake.
Similarly, internal problems faced by Burma is well-known.
precisely speaking, as requested by the centre a specific
statement of this matter had been submitted . In any case,
the main reason is their internal troubles, and our leaders
here could not be blamed in any ways.

Besides, steps are being taken up
to use the Chhimtuipui river (Kolodyne) as Inland water
Transport system. In fact, this included in the 8th five
year plan, and a survey will soon be carried out.

S P E A K E R : Question No-27 Pu Zosiama
Pachuaau.

PU ZOSIAMA PACHUAU : Mr Speaker Sir, I raise
my question No-27
Will the Hon'ble Minister in-charge
Education & Human Resources Department be pleased to state -

(a) Reasons why provincialisation of several/selected
Middle & High Schools are delayed ?

(b) Reasons why a teacher needs to have two years conti-
nuous service whereas non-teaching staff need continuous
service of only one year for obsorption into the Govern-
ment service in the proposed schools for provincialisation.

Dr H.THANSANGA : Mr Speaker Sir, the process
MINISTER of provincialisation of sel-
ected Middle School & High
Schools is delayed since it is
necessary to examine the particulars of the schools to be
provincialised and each of staff member in regard to their
service condition and their eligibility for appointment
as Headmaster and Teachers, as the case may be. These
processes take time since good number of schools proposed.
.....to be provincialised are located in the interior
places.

The job responsibilities between
teaching and non-teaching staff are so different from each
other. Non-teaching staff which normally composes of
Ministerial staff, craft teachers and menial cannot be
considered at par with teaching staff whose primary res-
ponsibility is importing of education to students-
Hence, two years continuous service is prescribed for
teaching staff and one year for non-teaching staff
for provincialised schools .

PU ZOSIAMA PACHUAU : Mr Speaker Sir, supplementary question - during our Budget Session this year, our Hon'ble Minister had gone to inaugurate a new Government School. Now, 7 months have already elapsed, and is the same school selected for provincialisation without examining its particulars .

Besides, some teachers in the deficit schools are quite aged. While some of the teachers have already retired, there are others who are waiting for their superannuation. Will there be any problems for such teacher? Moreover, while only one year is prescribed for untrained non-teaching staff, why 2 years continuous service is prescribed for teaching staff? Can the teaching staff be condoned with only month training?

PU AICHHINGA : Mr Speaker, Sir, another question; regarding provincialisation of schools, each MLA is requested to give recommendation on schools to be selected from his constituency. Nevertheless, why junior schools are selected against our wishes? In fact, this a great humiliation for the M.L.A. On what criteria are such schools selected?

Dr H. THANSANGA : Mr Speaker Sir, emphasizing MINISTER on question (a), there are two reasons for our delay. Firstly, transfer and posting could not be done before completion of census. Secondly, election was announced immediately after completion of census, during which transfer and reshuffle were prohibited, as teachers are assigned with important task during election. Presently, interview is completed and assessment is being done.

I have already answered about the teaching staff and the non-teaching staff, there is nothing more to be added. We are proceeding according to rules, and the merits and demerits will soon be learnt.

Besides, I would like to remind our hon'ble members that there is no question of neglecting your recommendations. (Pu Zosiama Pachuau : We are aware of the fact that school, not recommended by the members was selected)

Mr Speaker Sir, it does not mean that all the recommended schools should be accepted by the government, because there are many points to be taken into account. I regret to say that all the schools recommended by our members cannot be selected. Besides, selection is not based simply on seniority, as this is not justified from other point of view. Anyway, this is just the beginning and I hope all the schools will be picked out as we go on. (Pu Zosiama Pachuau : Will the senior teachers face any Problem?) Sincere teachers will be given a chance, there is nothing to doubt.

PU ZOSIAMA PACHAUJ : Mr Speaker Sir, it has been merely stated that government Notification is issued in accordance with the rules. Yet, it is not even known under which Act are such rules furnished. Since we have already faced a problem on college provincialised rule, can our Ministry defend itself if this issue challenged in the High Court ?

Dr H. THANSANGA
MINISTER : Mr Speaker Sir, if rules are provisions of the Act, it is always stated clearly and definitely. But the said rule is furnished under the authority of the Indian Constitution, Article 309.

S P E A K E R : Question No-28 Pu Lalrinchhana.

PU LALRINCHHANA : Mr Speaker Sir, I ask my question No-28. Will the Hon'ble Minister in-charge Rural Development Department be pleased to state -

Are the G.I. Sheets supplied to those Villagers immigrated from Luakchhuah and Kani Villages ?

PU LIANSUAMA
MINISTER : Mr Speaker Sir, G.I. Sheets have been supplied to those immigrated from Luakchhuah and Kani Villages. Besides, the same had been supplied to the needy villagers of Khawpuar, Vaitin, Tingmun, Sakawrdai, Fehlawm, Zohmun and Palsang. In that way, we are attempting to increase our distributions .

PU LALRINCHHANA : Mr Speaker Sir, supplementary questions ; 19 families immigrated to New Vervek from Luakchhuah ; out of these 19 families, five of them havenot yet recieved the G.I. Sheets. Among the immigrants from Damdiai to New Vervek only 2 out of 5 families have been given the G.I. Sheets. In their place, permanent settlers at New Vervek are given the G.I. Sheet. Enquiring about this matter we were informed that the G.I. Sheet were not supplied to them. Simply because they did not give their names to the Congress party. Is the government aware of this fact ? Can this be detected by the government.

PU T.C.PACHHUNGA : Mr Speaker Sir, will the government considered means of earning a living for such families ?

PU P.C.ZORAMSANGLIANA : Mr Speaker Sir, our Hon'ble Minister had pointed out about the immigtants from Luakchhuah and Kani, who have not yet recieved the C.I.Sheets. Is it a fact that such families failed to give the report in time ?

PU LIANSUAMA
MINISTER : Mr Speaker Sir, regarding distribution of C.I.Sheets, firstly, survey is carried out, within the B.D.O. area, and then distribution is done on the basis of percentage. From Ratu area, 90 families are selected. Moreover, we have decided not to neglect the most needy ones. At the same time some of the immigrants are already given the C.I.Sheets, and they will not be given twice simply because they shifted to other villages. Besides, 40 families reported from Sesawng was also cancelled since they were already given.

Anyway, this matter is being surveyed by the B.D.O. and other efficient officers. There is no distinction of political parties in this case, certainly roof will be provided to the foofless.

PU LALRINCHHANA : Mr Speaker, our hon'ble Chief Minister on his visit gave four bundles each to 26 families migrated from Luakchhuah and Damdai villages. Even at the B.D.O. office, the list of recipients include Pu Chawngghira and Pu - Rohluna , but till date, they are not yet given . Similarly, as practiced before , 3 families newly migrated ought to obtained the C.I.Sheets even if they were provided before.

PU P.C.ZORAMSANGLIANA : Mr Speaker Sir, 3 families migrated to my constituency at Sesawng have already obtained the C.I.Sheets, and I have given a report of this matter. In my opinion, it is the responsibility of each MLA to submit such report.

PU LALRAWNLIANA : Mr Speaker Sir, our hon'ble Chief Minister willingly gave the C.I.Sheets, Why our Minister interfered in such matter.

PU LIANSUAMA
MINISTER : Mr Speaker Sir, mistakes are often committed, but our officers have examined them. No family is given twice . Undoubtedly, we will provide roof for the roofless.

S P E A K E R : Question No.29 Dr R.Lalthangliana.

Dr R.LALTHANGLIANA : Mr Speaker Sir, I ask my question No. 29.
Will the Hon'ble Minister in charge Public Health Engineering Department be pleased to state -
Total expenditure already incurred during the present Ministry for Lunglei Greater Water Supply scheme.

S P E A K E R : In the absence of our Hon'ble Chief Minister Pu Lal Thanhawla, the answers will be provided by Pu Zalawma, as authorised by our Hon'ble Chief Minister.

PU ZALAWMA
MINISTER : Mr Speaker Sir, the total expenditure incurred in Rs.615.33 lakhs.

Dr R.LALTHANGLIANA : Mr Speaker Sir, supplementary question - regarding Lunglei water Supply Scheme, a Tender worth Rs.850 lakhs was rejected, a Contractor with a tender Rs.1150 lakhs was favoured. An expenditure of Rs.615 lakhs have been incurred for this purpose, yet, there is no sign of work here. As such, our hon'ble Chief Minister, responsible for the answer has tried to escape as he does not have the courage to do so.

PU VANLALNGHAKA : Mr Speaker Sir, from the technical point of view, the lowest tender could not be merely selected. Hence, there is no corruption whatsoever involved in this issue. The work has been taken up quite rapidly. While the public at Lunglei are quite please with it, is there anything that displeased them?

PU TAWNLUJA : Mr Speaker Sir, for Greater Water Supply Scheme at Lunglei, about Rs.600 lakhs is being spent at the moment. Meanwhile, only one out of ten of the task is undertaken now. How much benefit is gained by the Minister-in-charge.

PU P.LALBIAKA : Mr Speaker Sir, I have nothing to say regarding the work and expenditure on Greater Water Supply at Lunglei. However, the public complaint about the welding. Is the Government aware of this fact ?

PU ZALAWMA MINISTER : Mr Speaker Sir, the Estimate for Lunglei Greater Water Supply Scheme is about Rs.- 1400 lakhs. Out of that, only half of it have been utilised. The Construction of road stretching from Flawng river to Zotlang is entirely in the hands of a contractor from Subas Project Marketing Ltd. The rest of the works are shared by local contractors. So far 35% of the tasks have been completed. It is assumed that the rest will be completed by October, 1992. Regarding the Tender, a committee did the selections after it is being verified by the department. Everything is done fairly without any partialities.

Dr R.LALTHANGLIANA : Mr Speaker Sir, will the Government examine welding of the pipe since it is very risky for the workers ?

PU ZALAWMA MINISTER : Mr Speaker Sir, if there is any errors, it will be noted down.

S P E A K E R : Question No-30
Pu R.Tlanghmingthanga.

PU R.TLANGHMINGTHANGA : Mr Speaker Sir, I ask my question NO-30.
Will the Hon'ble Minister in-charge Land Revenue and Settlement Department be please to state -

(1) Is the safety of the Governments land the responsibilities of the revenue department ?

(2) In Aizawl town, the areas around New Market and Kawltheihuan Market are allotted as House-Site by the government. To whome are these areas allotted ?

(3) Is Remna Run area an individual's area or the Governments ?

PU ZALAWMA : Mr Speaker Sir, the answers
MINISTER : of the above questions are as
follows -

- (1) Yes.
- (2) There is no House-Site allotment in the new Market area.
- (3) Certain portions of the Remna Run area is the Government lands the rest belongs to an individual.

PU R.TLANGHMINHTHANGA : Mr Speaker Sir, after learning
the answers, it is necessary to
point out names of persons who
were allotted house-site in the

said area. They are as follows :-

(1) Somewhere between 1988-89, house site was allotted to
Pu Mualthanga, (2) Vandingliana and (3) L.T.Muana,
Secretary, Congress (I) Unit. Meanwhile, it is being
decided to allot house site to Dawrpui Unit President,
Congress (I) .

Moreover, the building constructed by
Pu Tlanghmingthanga at Kawltheihuan does not seem like
a temporary one. In this connection that in 1991 Pu Hma-
chhuana land was extended by 15X30 feet, and Pu Laihnuna
land too was extended by 8X30 feet.

The Remna Run area was acquired way back
during the P.C Ministry . During the M.N.F. Ministry, this
areas was selected for construction of High Court Building.
But today, the said area is allotted as house site to nine
MLA and Boxer Zoramthanga.

Besides, the government's land around
New Market and Kawltheihuan had been allotted to certain
select individuals. Is the Government in a position to
clarify this matter ?

PU ZOSIAMA PACHUAU : Mr Speaker Sir, is the area
around Government High School
strictly reserved for the
Government ? Is the Government
aware of the fact that the lands around Middle and High-
Schools proposed to be provincialised, have been
allotted as house-site to some of our leaders ?

PU P.C.ZORAMSANGLIANA : Mr Speaker Sir, we have just
heard about the non-allotment
of New Market area as house-site
Nevertheless, on 10th October
1989, the space above the New Market building was allotted
to the MIZOFED. Is there any cancellation of such
allotment ?

PU K.VANLALAUVA : Mr Speaker Sir, the Kawltheihuan area was purchased in 1983 from the ISMD fund. The opinion of the government is most welcomed on matters concerning the extension of Pu Lalhmanchhuana's lands building temporarily constructed at Kawltheihuan by Pu R.Tlanghmingthanga and the orders issued for his eviction.

PU ZALAWNA MINISTER : Mr Speaker Sir, in 1984, Pu Mualthanga applied for extension of his land, since it is outside the Market area, his application was granted. In the same manner, allotment was made to Pu Vandingliana. After verification, it was found that his land was not within the Market area, so, clear demarcation was made in 1990, there is no such extension as we have heard. At the same time, I would like to remind you all that the government has no intention of allotting house site to anyone.

The permit granted to MIZOF D has not yet expired, but this is not concerned with house-site allotment. Anyway, the market building is under the management of L.A.D. Besides, the Remna Run area is allotted for High Court building.

To some extent, it is true that the Government fails to reserve its own lands. However, we are not the only one to blame as this started long before. Regarding the college area, there is no complain from the college authority so far, and matter concerning Kawltheihuan area will be verified.

S P E A K E R : Question NO-31 Pu F.Manghnuna.

PU F.MANGHNUNA : Mr Speaker Sir, I ask my question NO-31. Will the Hon'ble Minister in-charge Local Administration Department be please to state -

(a) Is the Urban Development fund granted to Chhimtuipui District during the Financial year 1991-92 ?

(b) If so, what is the exact amount ?
Is there a proposal to provide the same to Lawngtlai and Chawngte ?

PU LAIHUTHANGA MINISTER : Mr Speaker Sir, the answers are as follows ;
(a) The Urban Development fund was granted to Chhimtuipui District.

(b) The exact amount is Rs.10 lakhs. No fund is provided either for Lawngtlai or Chawngte. However, the same is granted for the urban area.

S P E A K E R : Question NO-32 .
Pu Zosiama Pachuau.

PU ZOSIAMA PACHUAU : Mr Speaker Sir, I raise my question No-32.

Will the Hon'ble Minister in charge Education & Human Resources Department be please to state -

The concept of 'Grace Marks' in the Educational evaluation and measurement under Mizoram Board of School Education ;

Dr H.THANSANGA : Mr Speaker Sir, granting of MINISTER
grace marks in the examination is a chance factor. Students are liable to commit mistakes unknowingly. To prevent that 15 grace marks are given to those who are on the border line. For instance a students fails for 15 marks in a particular subject and to enable him or her to pass, 15 marks are added. Then this marks are again minus from the total aggregation, and if the total exceeds the pass marks, then the student is declared pass. Only 15 marks could added even if a student fails in more than one subject.

PU ZOSIAMA PACHUAU : Mr Speaker Sir, supplementary question- Granting of grace marks is a very controversial matter as it has no place in the educational evaluation, which is the measurement of achievement . As seen in the News papers, those who appear supplementary examination will be given grace marks. Will this be done by the Government ?

Dr H.THANSANGA : Mr Speaker Sir, that is not MINISTER
so. In a supplementary examination, there cannot be any aggregate marks because examination is taken only for the failed subjects.

PU ZOSIAMA PACHUAU : Mr Speaker Sir, if such grace marks are readily available, then even a failed student can easily get through the examination. This is a wrong measurement.

Perhaps, this is the reason why our students performance is always poor outside our State. Is there any intention to improve the evaluation system by the Board of School Education ?

Dr H.THANSANGA : Mr Speaker Sir, as I have said
MINISTER before, the examination Board has certain powers on matter concerning grace marks. In fact,

this is clearly seen in Regulation 23(2) b. Therefore, Grace Marks are given in accordance with the said Regulation. In Assam, those who fail in 3 subjects are entitled to get grace marks.

(Pu Zosiama Pachuau : Mr Speaker Sir, this is not the question of failing in 3 papers. Is there any intention to improve the education system ? In fact, those who failed in 4 subjects could get through as long as it is desired by our leaders. Is our leaders unaware of the fact that the evaluation system in our state is wrong and is not at par with other States)

Mr Speaker Sir, that is exactly what I was trying to say. I do not mean that we should immitate other State. Considering the system in Assam, weare not over liberal. Anyway, the government is aware that evaluation is the most important part of educational system , and steps are being taken up for its improvement. So, expert from the Committee , we have decided to against either workshop or a seminer. Thank you.

PU R.ROMAWIA : Mr Speaker Sir, I ask my question
NO-33.

Will the Hon'ble Miniter in-charge Law, Judicial & Parliamentary affairs Department be please to state -

What are the steps taken up by the Mizoram governmen regarding the imcomplete supply of furnitures and books to the Gwahati High Court, Aizawl, Bench ?

Dr H.THANSANGA : Mr Speaker Sir, the Mizoram
MINISTER government has no interference in this matter as it is being taken up and considered by the High Court itself.

PU B.TEVO : Mr Speaker Sir, I ask my starred question No.34.

Will the Hon'ble Minister in-charge
Power & Electricity Department be please to state -

When will the work on HASSAINO/
TUIPANGLUI MICRO HYDEL PROJECT be started ?

PU LALHUTHANGA : Mr Speaker Sir, it is being pro-
MINISTER posed to take up preliminary
construction of Tuipanglui Micro
Hyde Project during the Finan-
cial year 1992-1993.

PU RUALCHHINA : Mr Speaker Sir, I ask my starred
question NO-35.

Will the Hon'ble Minister in-
charge Health & Family Welfare
Department be pleased to state -

Sairang is selected and approved for
setting up PHC (Primary Health Centre) and Estimate is
already prepared by the P.W.D. why is this suddenly shifted
to Chakhang ?

PU S.HIATC : Mr Speaker Sir, Chakhang is
MINISTER a remote area and there is an
urgent need for a PHC here. So,
the Government decided to shift
the proposed PHC from Sairang to Chakhang.

PU H.RAMMAWI : Mr Speaker Sir, I ask my starred
question NO-36

Will the Hon'ble Minister in-
charge Local Administration Department be pleased to state -

(a) Is the Village Council empowered
to lay down rules for the management of the Society ?

(b) For the purpose of managing the
society , is the village council empowered to lay down
rules for exercising control over the domestic animals.

PU LALHUTHANGA : Mr Speaker Sir, answering both
MINISTER questions (a) and (b), the Village
Council has no such powers.

PU AICHHINGA : Mr Speaker Sir, I ask my starred
question No-37.

Will the Hon'ble Minister in-charge Local Administration Department be pleased to state -

(a) When will the Kolasib LAD Circle office be functioned properly ?

(b) Under Sanitation, when will rubbish disposal truck be provided ?

(c) Is there any intention to appoint more sweepers ?

PU LALHUTHANGA : Mr Speaker Sir, the answers
MINISTER are as follows -

(a) Attempts have been made for immediate functioning of Kolasib LAD Circle Office .

(b) The exact time cannot be stated at the moment .

(c) There is no such intention now .

PU R. FLANCHMINGTHANGA : Mr Speaker Sir, I raise my
starred Question No-38

Will the Hon'ble Minister in-charge Rural Development Department be pleased to state -

(a) Number of families to whom C.I.Sheet are provided by the Government, and expenditures incurred for this purpose ?

(b) From which Budget head are the C.I.Sheets provided ?

(c) Number of families to obtain the C.I.Sheets during 1991-92, and number of bundles proposed to be given to each family ?

(d) Number of pigs supplied to the NLJP Beneficiaries and names of the suppliers ?

PU LIANSJAMA : Mr Speaker Sir, the answers
MINISTER are as follows -

(a) Under Rural Development Department, 8005 families were given C.I.Sheets by the Government, and Rs.540.00 lakhs is incurred for this purpose .

(b) The C.I.Sheets were given from the Budget head - Rural housing scheme 2515, other Rural development programme 172(5).

(c) During 1991-92, 1414 families are proposed to be provided with C.I. Sheets. Each family will receive four bundles .

(d) There is no supply of pigs to the NLUP beneficiaries. However, fund was given to them to pick out their own choice, and there is no supplier for this purpose.

PU T.C.PACHMUNGA : Mr Speaker Sir, I ask my starred question No.-39.

Will the Hon'ble Minister in-charge Social Welfare Department be please to state -

(a) What is the expenditures incurred by the Social Welfare Department for supplying P.A.set, Petromax, tea cups, Gutter, Pots etc. to the Voluntary Organisation during the current financial year ?

(b) What is the remaining amount ?

PU P.SIAMLIANA MINISTER : Mr Speaker Sir, the answers are as follows -

(a) Expenditures incurred by the Social Welfare Department for the said purpose is Rs.13.50 l khs.

(b) There is no remaining amount .

PU B.TEVC : Mr Speaker Sir, I ask my question No - 40

Will the Hon'ble Minister in-charge Industries Department be pleased to state -

Is there any intention to set up an Industrial Growth Centre under Saiha District Council ?

PU LIANSUAMA MINISTER : Mr Speaker Sir, there is no such intention at the moment.

PU ZOSIAMA PACHJAU : Mr Speaker Sir, I ask my question No-41.
Will the Hon'ble Minister in-charge Department of Personnel

& Administrative Reforms be pleased to state -

The practice of Selection in Recruitment Rules for Promotion to higher posts.

PU LAL PHANHAWLA : Mr Speaker Sir, the answer is
CHIEF MINISTER 'on the basis of merit-cum-seniority.

PU H. RAMMAWI : Mr Speaker Sir, I ask my
question No-42.

Will the Hon'ble Minister in-charge Health & Family Welfare Department be pleased to state -

(a) Is a tender furnished by the Health Services Department on April 1991 for supply of Hospital's Instruments & Appliances ?

(b) If so, is the supplier already selected ?

PU S. HIATU : Mr Speaker Sir, answers of
MINISTER of the above questions are :

(a) Tender is furnished.

(b) Supplier is not yet selected . . .

PU B. TELU : Mr Speaker Sir, I ask my
question No-43.

Will the Hon'ble Minister in-charge Public Works Department be pleased to state -

When will construction of bridge connecting the Chhimtuipui (Kolodine) river and Kawlchaw be completed.

PU LAL PHANHAWLA : Mr Speaker Sir, the construction of the said bridge
CHIEF MINISTER is entirely in the hands of

the B.R.T.F. Hence, the Mizoram government had no interference and is unaware of its completion.

PU RUALCHHINA : Mr Speaker Sir, I ask my
question No-44

Will the Hon'ble Minister in-charge Local Administration Department be pleased to state -

Is it a fact that the Government intends to shift the Ngharchhip Village to Tlanglo much to the annoyance of the Villagers ?

PU LALAJITHANGA
MINISTER : Mr Speaker Sir, Since the Village applied for it, the Government granted permission to do so .

PU ZOSIAMA PACHUAU : Mr Speaker Sir, I ask my question No-45,

Will the Hon'ble Minister in-charge Rural Development Department be pleased to state -

'Whether beneficiaries of IRDP are continued to be selected from the Blocks/Area where NLJP have been implemented ?

PU LIANSUAMA
MINISTER : Mr Speaker Sir, the answer for question No.45 is ;

In principle, IRDP will not be implemented in NLJP Blocks.

PU B.TEVC : Mr Speaker Sir, I ask my question No. 46 ;

Will the Hon'ble Minister in-charge Environment & Forest Department be pleased to state -

' Is the border-line of Assam and Mizoram clearly demarcated ?

PU ZALAWMA
MINISTER : Mr Speaker Sir, actually, we are not supposed to give the answers as this case is not the responsibility of the Environment & Forest Department. Anyway, as far as we know, the border-line is clearly demarcated .

PU LALRAWNLIANA : Mr Sir, today I have to give an important statement concerning the interest of the public.

On 4.6.1990, a Notification was issued by the government concerning allotment of lands for BSF Battalion Headquarter. According to this Notification, the required land was 356.79 Bigha, and within the required land, an area measuring 360.92 Bigha belongs to an individual, and the Government's land measured only 4.87 Bigha. Here, Pi Lalnunmawii, wife of Darchhungá owns 20 Bigha which is a periodic patta . Our Hon'ble Minister Pu Zalawma's daughter Pi Helen and Pu Darchzika tried their level best to increase the bigha to 66.48. After this, plot No. - measuring 49.4 Bigha

is the name of M.S. Dawnliana.

Plot No.1-4 measuring 39.47 bighas was kept in the name of M.S.Dawngliana, and Plot No.5-6, 16.97 bighas was kept in the name of Pi Lalnunmawii, and Plot No. 7, 15.04 bighas was in the name of Pu Lalhmingthanga. After Notification was issued on 30.7.90, Pi Helen made a preparation to obtain a LSC. Then the Director forwarded the letter Vide No LSC/RA+1/9+2 dated 30.7.90. On the same day, the Minister issued the LSC in accordance with the letter No. RR/6/+84 dt.11/29/ of 30.7.1990.

Using such LSC, they submitted an application for compensation to the D.C. However, thinking that such application was a mistake, the D.C. returned it twice. But they still hesitated to withdraw their application. On 4.6.1990, a Notification was issued as compensation could not be granted with LSC issued on 30.7.1990. This intention to steal 46.48 bighas of land is deeply felt by the people.

On 1.8.1991, an application was submitted to back date the patta. Unfortunately, we were not permitted to see this letter without the consent of the Secretary. Whatever the case may be, according to Land requisition Act, 1984, amendments and alteration of LSC are prohibited after a Notification is issued. However, against the said Act, our Hon'ble Minister issued LSC after a Notification was issued to the following persons ;
Lalhmingthanga 15.77 G-52/90. Lalmuankima S/O Lallianzauva 8.45 bighas, Laltanpuii d/o Lalnunmawii d/o Darchhunga- 8.61 bighas, Rualthangi d/o Lianthanga 8.57 bighas.

In the year 1984, the BRIF constructed a road through Sub-Lalsiama's land and Pu Thangchhawna's lands. And as a compensation, Rs. 500/- and 200/- were given to the land owners respectively. Meanwhile Pu Zalawma wrongly prepared LSC No.1032 for the said lands while Pu Thangchhawna's permit No.192/1952 has not yet expired. Therefore, Pu Zalawma has snatched away other's lands and claimed a compensation of Rs.23,34,375/-. This act of injustice and corruption is extremely disgraceful. There is no a single reason as to why Pu Zalawma should get the compensation. In fact, we are very unfortunate to have a person with the habit of robbing other's lands as a Minister.

During the P.C.Ministry, the Sesawng Maumit area was allotted to Pu Bualhranga and Pu Biakchungnunga for setting up a BS Boarding School. However, as the said persons were unable to establish a boarding school, the allotted land was handed over to Pu Thawngkhuma with periodic patta 74 of 1987. When the Congress Ministry came into power, this patta was cancelled by Pu Zalawma stating that the land was needed by the people as Mizoram was disturbed area then. However, Pu Zalawma snatched away such lands, and the patta No. is 336 of 1991. Surprisingly, Pu Zalawma's father appears to possess two different names. On the first page, it is written as Thangkhama, and on the second page as Thangkhuma. Besides, no date was written here.

Today, nothing can prevent me to reveal this great corruption. Even the public is well aware of this fact. It does not mean that we have personal judges against him, but we are considering about the welfare of our society. Our members should have the courage to cancel such corruption, and at the same time, our dishonourable Minister should not hesitate to resign from his post. Thank you.

S P E A K E R : Now we will invite our Hon'ble Deputy Leader to give his statement.

PU VAIVENGA : Mr Speaker, the word dishonourable utter by our Hon'ble member is not parliamentary. It will be a matter of satisfaction if he can withdraw his word. And the statement made by our Hon'ble member need be verified. Is it not better if our Hon'ble Minister prepare a Statement in accordance with our Rule No-26 ?

PU ZOSIAMA PACHUAU : Mr Speaker Sir, this is an important matter, as we have move too faraway from our motto, which is freedom from guilt or corruption. Today it is ashame to be an MLA or even a Minister.

PU H. RAMMAWI : Mr Speaker Sir, instead of just preparing a statement, we should discuss this matter in the House as we have all the documents.

PU SAI KAPTHIANGA : Mr Speaker Sir, what we have just heard is correct. Let the MINISTER prepare a statement, and if the members are still uncontented, then we can have a general discussion.

PU ZALAWMA : Mr Speaker Sir, I will prepare the statement. At the MINISTER same time, I am anxious to discuss this matter, and we prove whether the allegation is right or wrong.

PU TAWNEUIA : Mr Speaker Sir, let the statement be prepared tomorrow, and if we are still unsatisfied, we will discuss it thoroughly. So, let our Minister remain quiet at the moment.

PU VAIVENGA : Mr Speaker Sir, let the statement be prepared in accordance with our Rules.

S P E A K E R : Issuing of statement is final, and it does not mean that statement should be followed by discussion. So, the work 'Statement' should be withdrawn. If necessary, this could be done from another forum. Now, we will proceed with our programme, and we will summon Pu Andrew Lalherliana to lay his paper.

PU ANDREW LALHERLIANA : Mr Speaker Sir, with your permission, I beg to lay on the Table of this House, Action taken, Report of Committee on Estimate contain in its fourth report relating to Public Works Department thank you.

S P E A K E R : The Estimate Committee Report has been laid on the Table. Let the copies be distributed.

Now, Dr H.Thansanga will lay on the table a copy of the Mizoram Legislative Assembly (Grant of Loans and Advances to members) (Amendment) Rules, 1991.

Dr H.THANSANGA : Mr Speaker Sir, with your permission, I beg to lay on the Table of the House, copy of the Mizoram Legislative Assembly Grant of Loans and Advances to members, Amendment Rules, 1991. Thank you.

S P E A K E R : Dr H.Thansanga has laid on the Table copy of the Mizoram Legislative Assembly grant of Loans and Advances to members. Amendment Rules, 1991. Let the copies be distributed. If distribution is completed, Pu Zosiama Pachuau is invited to present Report of Public Account Committee.

PU ZOSIAMA PACHUAU : Mr Speaker Sir, with your permission, I, hereby present to the House the 28th, 29th, 30th, 31st, 32nd, 33rd, 34th and 35th Report of Public Accounts Committee.
Thank you.

S P E A K E R : Report of Public Accounts Committee has been presented. Let the copies be distributed. Now, Pu Andrew Lalherliana will present his report.

PU ANDREW LALHERLIANA : Mr Speaker Sir, with your permission, I present to the House the 6th, 7th and 8th Report of the Committee of Estimates. Thank you.

S P E A K E R : Reports of the Committee of Estimates has been presented by Pu Andrew Lalherliana. Let the copies be distributed.

We will now call Pu P.C.Zoram-sangliana to present to the House Report of the Committee on Petitions.

PU P.C.ZORAMSANGLIANA : Mr Speaker Sir, with your permission, I, the Chairman of the Committee on Petitions as authorised by the Committee to present in its report on its behalf present the 3rd Report. Thank you.

S P E A K E R : The 3rd Report of the Committee on Petitions has been presented. Let the copies be distributed.

Now Pu Vaivenga will present to the House Report of the Committee on Government Assurances.

P U V A I V E N G A : Mr Speaker Sir, with your permission, I the chairman of the Committee on Government Assurances having been authorised by the Committee to present the Report on its behalf the fourth report to the house.

S P E A K E R : Pu Vaivenga has presented the fourth Report of Committee on Government Assurances. Let the copies be distributed.

(Copies are distributed).

P U V A I V E N G A : Mr Speaker Sir, when we question the department about the Assurances presented to the House by our Hon'ble Minister, we do not get a prompt reply. Hence it is necessary for each department head as well as our Hon'ble Ministers to render more active efforts in pursuing the Assurances presented to the House. In this connection, I suggest the setting up of an Assurance cell in each department in order to hasten the Government to note down this fact.

P U P . J . Z O R A M S A N G L I A N A : Mr Speaker Sir, the report presented by the petition Committee is constantly the same, although the report is very important. This means that the government fails to perform its duty. Therefore, the government should take immediate action on reports presented to the House.

Meanwhile, the concerned officers are summoned to attend the various Committees. I would like to remind such officers that the committee is formed on behalf of this Assembly.

PU ZALAWMA
MINISTER

: Mr Speaker Sir, our Hon'ble Member from Sateek Pu Lal-rawnlana has mentioned about a plot of land at Lunglei, woned by Pu Tlangchawma and Pu Lalsiama . To support our Starement, I would be most grateful if the pass, if there is any, for such land could be produced. Anyway, uncertain things or matters should not be presented Before this august House.

S P E A K E R

: Alright, no more discussion from now on. We should wind up for a moment as this is a personal matter, We have made a decision that the statement will be prepared, and in doing that, a particulars thing is required by him, which should be given with due respect as you are all members in this August House.

PU H. RAMTAWI

: Mr Speaker Sir, as our Hon'ble Deputy Leader from the treasury bench has stated, things become complicated as there is no respond from the Government when the task of clarification is carried our by the Assembly Committee. So, it is necessary for the government to pay more attention towards this aspects. Is it a fact that the Ministry is more alert towards matter concerning private lands ?

S P E A K E R

: This particular subject is closed now.

PU ZOSIAMA PACHUAU

: Mr Speaker Sir, matter concerning PAJ has never been stated here, as we considered it better to remain silent . However, in implementation of our Reports, the main problems lies with our leaders. So, I request our leaders to kindly accept the fact that the Reports presented by any Committees is done on behalf of the House . Moreover, the vaious Committees are set up constitutionaly in accordance with our Rules of Procedure . So, any reports presented by them should be implemented. The Ministry should be aware of the fact.

That our officers could not be responsible on problem of implementation . In the centre, reports are implemented cent per cent, but it is just the opposite in our State. This makes it quite difficult even to be a members. Whatever, the case may be , this is certainly not the fault of the Committee, but it is extremely specially for the concerned Committee. After leaving the Committee, I intend to prepare a press-release, and I request our Ministry to note down this fact.

S P E A K E R : Will this be done by each Chairman ?

PU ANDREW LAHERLIANA : Mr Speaker Sir, I would like to make a brief statement. Our complaint is more or less the same. there must be a meaningful reason if our recommendations are not carried out. Nevertheless, our recommendations always fail to materialise without any explanations. When the concerned officers are invited to give clarifications, they did not turn up with a lame excuse. So, our Hon'ble Ministers should realise that this greatly lowers the prestige of this august House as we are representation of the House. Henceforth, no officers should back out on a slight pretext.

S P E A K E R : Today, what we have heard is highly appreciated. Mizoram belongs to the Mizo people. As permitted by the Indian Constitution, the people elect its representatives every five-years, and the elected members today assembled together in this House. Now, the meeting is over, we are here to keep up the honour and prestige of this august House, and the Speaker is assigned specially for that purpose. So, the Government should be attentive towards the speaker's statement. The Ministers form the executive body , and among them, there is a Sub-Committee to take up the new policies.

Any statements made in this House should be given due importance by all of us here. If not, then we are neglecting our people.

Finally, we should not forget the fact that we are representatives of the people and it is our duty to keep up the prestige of this august House by leading a righteous life. Above all, we should not attack one another personally. Instead we should cooperate and work together harmoniously.

Our meeting is over for today, and we will assemble together again tomorrow at 10:30 A.M.

12:10 P.M.

Meeting Adjourned.

...63/-