

THIRD SITTING ON FRIDAY, THE 4TH OCTOBER, 1991

( Time 10:30 AM to 1:00 PM and 2:00 PM to 4:00PM )

PRESENT

PU ROKAMLOVA at the Chair, 8 (eight) Ministers and 27 (twenty-seven) members were present.

QUESTIONS

1. QUESTIONS enter in separate list to be asked and answers given.

STATEMENT BY MINISTER

2. PU ZALAWMA in-charge Land Revenue and Settlement Department to make a statement on issue of Land Settlement Certificate.

PRIVATE MEMBERS BUSINESS

3. PU AICHHINGA to move "In order to encourage and give incentives to the farmers and Horticulturists over all Mizoram in such away that they get a better price and better market for their product the government of Mizoram should allot Rs. 5 crores for the fund provision for the budget year 1992 - 93"
4. PU P.C.ZORAMSANGLIANA to move "Let the Chief Minister Pu Lal Thanhawla who had dedicated his Chief-ministership for the Peace Settlement in Mizoram be recommended to receive the National Civil Highest Award".
5. PU R.TLANGHMINGTHANGA to move "In order to investigate and find out the person responsible in the corruption and misappropriation of funds. The Government of Mizoram should constitute an enquiry Commission comprising of MLA'S of different political Parties as members".

S P E A K E R

: "The people of the land had practised extortion and committed robbery; they have oppressed the poor and needy, and have extorted from the sojourner without redress. And I sought for a man without them who should build up the wall and stand in the breach before me for the land, that I should not destroyed it; but I found none".

Ezekiela 22:29-30.

"For the eyes of the Lord run to and fro throughout the whole earth, to show his might in behalf of those whose heart is blameless towards him".

IIChronicles 16:9.

Now we will take up questions.  
Let Pu R. Tlanghmingthanga ask his starred question.

PU R. TLANGHMINGTHANGA : Mr Speaker sir, I asked my question, starred question no.47.

Will the Hon'ble Minister in-charge Education and Human Resources Department be pleased to state -

- (a) How much fund is incurred by the government for cultural competition?
- (b) For what purpose is the fund utilised?

S P E A K E R

: Minister in-charge may given him response

DR. H. THANSANGA  
MINISTER

: Mr Speaker sir, the responses to HIS QUESTION ARE.

(a) For the cultural competition the government had spent Rs. 44,900/- only.

(b) Funds were used for bamboos, ground preparation, making for banners and posters and publicity, for advertisement, certificate and for the purchase of prizes and remuneration of judges.

PU R. TLANGHMINGTHANGA

: Mr Speaker sir, supplementary question. Those cultural troupe who come from outside the state were given TA/DA. However, all the participants from Mizoram who also come from the remote part of the state were not given any T.A. or D.A. what is the reason? It was those YMA who participated in the competition who made the occasion a success, Art and Culture Department ought to show their gratitude to Y.M.A.

DR. H. THANSANGA : Mr Speaker sir, due to non availability of fund we could not fulfill our desire. Before the competition we discussed with leader of YMA about our financial condition, agreement was then made not to give TA/DA to participants from Mizoram. In future when the kind be organised again I hope we can made some provision in the budget for this purposes.

S P E A K E R : Question No. 48. Pu AICHHINGA.

PU AICHHINGA : Mr Speaker sir, I asked my question no. 48.

Will the Non'ble Minister in-charge Sports and Youth Services department be pleased to state:

When will the construction of Kolosib Mini Sport Complex be resumed?

S P E A K E R : The Minister in-charge Pu Saikapthianga may give a response.

PU SAIKAPTHIANGA : Mr Speaker sir, the answer to starred question 48 is that the government intends to resume it's work during this financial year.

PU AICHHINGA : Mr Speaker sir, supplementary question. Our Chief Minister assured in the budget session that the work can be resumed immediately. Moreover, till today we heard that the work may be resumed. What is the reason for the delay? Is the reason being that Kolasib is an opposition constituency?

PU P.C.ZORAMSANGLIANA : Mr Speaker sir, supplementary question. Does the government make any budget provision for Vaivakawn Public Play ground? If so, when will they start the work ?

PU SAIKAPTHIANGA : Mr Speaker sir, regarding the  
MINISTER construction of Mini sport com-  
plex at Kolasib, the government  
is also taking much initiative.  
However, due to unavailability of Bulldozer the work can-  
not be in progress. We are not neglecting Kolosib as it is  
opposition Constituency.

Regarding Vaivakawn Play Ground.  
The work could not be started as there was no proper esti-  
mate for its construction. Now we have S.D.O. and J.E.  
who could prepare estimate. The work will soon be started  
after estimate are ready. There is also some budget provi-  
sion for the purposes. (PU P.C.ZORAMSANGLIANA : One con-  
tractor has already supplied boulder).

S P E A K E R : Now question no. 49, Pu Zosiama  
Pachuaui.

PU ZOSIAMA PACHUAUI : Mr Speaker sir, I asked my ques-  
tion, starred question No. 49.

Will the Hon'ble Minister in-charge Land Revenue  
& Settlement Department be pleased to state -

(a) Whether the government has acquired land for  
construction of a Godown meant for Printing  
and Stationery Department in 1991 within Ai-  
zawl 'W' A/C?

(b) Area of the land acquired, the amount involved  
for the acquisition? The exact area is 5.20  
bigha. Now the area is 12.8 bigha, it was revenue depart-  
ment who had taken the measurement, how can there is an  
increase in the area when the government propose to acquired  
this plot. I request our Minister to clarify this. Sec-  
ondly, yesterday our Minister could not say to whom land for  
House site were allotted at Kawltheihuan and New Market  
area, but when some member points out the names of the re-  
cipients our Minister seems to be aware of them again what  
is the reason for forgetting them and remembering again?  
Are there a communication gap between the Ministry and  
the Secretariat?

PU VANLALNGHAKA : Mr Speaker sir, a question was  
already there shall we still ...  
(Pu Zosiama Pachuaui : I am asking  
about Revenue not Printing and Stationery Department)  
Mr Speaker sir, the question is concerning about Printing  
and Stationery Department (Pu Zosiama Pachuaui : You must  
be well aware when you are Minister that for the acquisi-  
tion of land by the government first it must be processed  
to Revenue Department) Mr Speaker sir, yes, I am aware of it.

(Pu Tawnluia : The actual area was 5.20 bigha, but when remeasurement was done by the same department i.e. Revenue the areas was increased to 12.08 bighas, that means there is a multiple of land to 6.88 bighas. Besides, there are many objection from department and Secretariat. The Ministry over rule their objection. May be the owner of this plot of land was the father-in-law of our respected Finance Minister. As there is some irrregularities I request our government to take investigation.

PU P.C.ZORAMSLIANA : Mr Speaker sir, as we have heard from members who knew the area well that it is stop area unsuitable for building or construction. Mr Speaker sir, why don't our Minister assure the House that the government can acquired other plot which are more suitable for the construction of godown.

PU LALRAWNLIANA : Mr Speaker sir, regarding the acquisition of that plot of land yesterday I went to the departments' office, and learnt that the actual area of the plot was 5.20 bighas. However, Mr Rinzawna constartly went to the Office and begged Mr Darzika to make the area to 12.08 bighas without spot verification. Does the government aware of the matter. Why did they expand the area of land in paper? The owner being the close relation of our Finance Minister they begged the government to acquired their land. The file for the acquisition of this land was rejected twice, but it was put up for the third time, here they dare to reject the file. Does the government aware of it?

PU VANLALNGENA : Mr Speaker sir, let me ask one question, regarding reply given by our respected minister, the 8th plan of para 3 contradict to each other, which one is correct, I request sure respected Minister to clarify it.

S P E A K E R : Let the Minister give the clarification.

PU ZALAWMA  
MINISTER : Mr Speaker sir, according to government's record found in Revenue Department, Printing and Stationery Department submitted their willingness to Dy. Commissioner Aizawl to acquire the land owned by Mr Rinzawna S/o Mr Muka Mission Veng, their land at Chawnpui under LSC 765/1987 12.08 bighas for the aforesaid department's complex. They also give notification to Revenue Department's vide letter no.13. 11030/90/P&S dated 2.8.1991. After contious examination Revenue

Department published a Preliminary Notification on August 30th 1991 under Land Acquisition Act 1894. Within one month survey and submission of report must be done. Unless the Revenue Department finished the process, the land cannot be acquired and funds from the land be given.

PU TAWNLUIA : Mr Speaker sir, it seems that the government is again giving more than 10 lakhs for a land in paper.

PU ZALAWMA  
MINISTER : Mr Speaker sir, as the government had asked for verification and Identification of the land, unless they submit their report the government cannot regard it as a matter.

PU TAWNLUIA : Mr Speaker sir, they use Rs.18 lakhs as negotiation formula.

PU P.C.ZORAMSANGLIANA : Mr Speaker sir, can they acquire land elsewhere as the propose land was steep, unsuitable for the construction of Godown.

PU ZALAWMA  
MINISTER : Mr Speaker sir, it is up to the concern department to change their decision regarding the acquisition of their desired land. We just process their proposal

PU LALRAWNLIANA : Mr Speaker sir, none of the official in the department like the area. The department normally have material weighting 60-80 quintals. As the area is very steep it may not be possible to construct a firm building. That is why they reject the file twice. In the third time they dare to reject the file. Why did the Minister tell the House false statement ?

PU ZALAWMA  
MINISTER : Mr Speaker sir, as the land is not yet acquired, it is in process. There respected member Pu Rawna's accusation can be clarified when final decision are made.

PU ZOSIAMA PACHUAU : Mr Speaker sir, I request respected Minister to clarify how can the area of the land was increased from 5.02 bighas to 12.08 bighas. It was Revenue Department who made measurement of the same area. Does re-measurement was made from Table after the file was in processed?

DR. H. THANSANGA MINISTER : Mr Speaker sir, concerned Minister may give response later on prior to that for public information. Regarding acquisition land most of us are busy about the fund involved in them. For instance on the purchasement of land by Nehu at Tanhril they said that compensation won't be necessary moreover, after spending about Rs. 21 lakhs, now solatium with interest is required. Due to this we went to High Court. C.L.P. meeting suggested to form a committee under the leadership of law Minister. A committee was then formed, summoned head of department from various departments. Their recommendation was approved by the cabinet. According to this, prior to the acquisition of land by the acquisition of land by the government, spot verification should have to be done with the approval of the concerned minister, meanwhile the minister also have a thorough knowledge of the proposed land. Then negotiation must be made between the owner of the land and the one who intends to purchase the land (Pu Zosiama Pachuau : I want to hear the accurate response not about solatium or any of that sort). As it had some connection with our discussion I pointed it out. Mr Speaker sir, from now on if any department want to acquire land the aforesaid committee's recommendation must be followed

PU TAWNLUIA : Mr Speaker, sir the government can acquire land following the Land Acquisition Act 1894. Notification is also given for the purpose. Just now we heard from our minister about the committee's recommendation does the government give notification of their decision to all the departments and published it in our Gazette.?

PU P.C. BAWITLUANGA : Mr Speaker sir, it seems that problem arises regarding the acquisition of land by Printing and Stationery Department. It is that the owner of the land was a close relative of one of our Ministers. PU Rawna's speech revealed that the file was rejected twice and they dare to reject the file for the third time. This means that the Minister misused his power at the same time I want to know the name of the officer who informed him the way file was processed.

PU TAWNLUIA : Mr Speaker sir, I raise one question. Regarding the purchase of Mualpui ram, the owner reported the area of his land as 43 bighas, but when actual measurement was taken the area was 40 bighas therefore 3 bighas was deducted can we met apply the same here as in Mualpui land? As the actual area was 5.05 bighas. However its owner reported as 12.08 bighas.

S P E A K E R : The Minister must have many to response.

MR. H. RAMMAWI : Mr Speaker sir, according to Plan laid in the House Printing and Stationery Department had acquired land for Rs. 18 lakhs and Rs. 10 lakhs being paid. Nevertheless, our Minister told the House that land is not yet acquired as final decision are yet to be made. This means that our minister's statement and the Plan which was laid in the House contradict to each other. Therefore, I request our Minister to clarify about this.

PU VAIVENGA : Mr Speaker sir, from the statement of various speakers it seem that there is some irregularities behind the acquirement of land by Printing and Stationery Department. As our respected Minister told the House that the land is not yet acquired. In that case why don't he assure the House that proper investigation or re-examination will be made in the matter.

PU LALRAWNLIANA : Mr Speaker sir, can the Minister kindly assured the House that the proposed land be cancelled and search land elsewhere which will be suitable for the construction of a firm building.

PU P.C. BAWITLUANGA : Mr Speaker sir, regarding the subject we are discussing our House leader assured the House that government can re-examine the matter. Therefore, why don't we skip to other subject. It is just waste of time.

PU TAWNLUIA : Mr Speaker sir, as our House leader has given us assurance, let's stop the discussion.



S P E A K E R : We shall call upon respected Minister to give responses and clarification.

PU ZALAWMA : Mr Speaker sir, L.S.C. No.756  
MINISTER was issued in 1987, before the present Ministry's term. We are not responsible for the area of this land. L.S.C. are issued after measurement are taken and report submitted to authority. May be the ground demarcation was bigger than recorded in the previous L.S.C. Besides, he has given tax or land revenue to the government as an area of 12.08 bighas. Henceforth as we are not the one who issued this particular L.S.C. I cannot give any further clarification.

Regarding the acquisition of this land everything is done according to Revenue Department Land Acquisition Act 1894. Citing section 4 sub-section (1) an officer is appointed to do spot verification and submit his report. A notification has been published on 30 August 1991 for a period of one month. As this officer did not submit his report the government cannot decide any final decision regarding the acquisition of this land.

PU ZOSIAMA PACHUAU : Mr Speaker sir, I have also seen the file, it is in process. How can the Minister unaware of this? (Pu Zalawma : The government will consider the matter) Give me direct response how can the area increase from 5.20 to 12.08 bighas ? If he can't let him say so.

PU ZALAWMA : Mr Speaker sir, as I have stated  
MINISTER earlier, L.S.C. has been issued by the previous ministry as 12.08 bighas. I can't say that the area is not the accurate area.

PU ZOSIAMA PACHUAU : Mr Speaker sir, he is not fit to settle this matter. Let him give direct response not by beating around the bush.

PU TAWNLUIA : Mr Speaker sir, why don't the government admit that the area of land was increased in the paper ? If we visit the area, it is too small for 12.08 bighas.

PU ZOSIAMA PACHUAU : Mr Speaker sir, the L.S.C. was signed by Revenue Department. It is ambiguous that the concerned Minister is unaware of it.

S P E A K E R : In the government it is impossible for the Minister to be aware of every detail of the government activities and the initiative taken by various department. As final decision are not yet taken let's proceed to starred question No. 50, Mr Dr R.Lalthangliana.

DR. R.LALTHANGLIANA : Mr Speaker sir, I asked my question, starred question No.50.

Will the Hon'ble Minister in-charge Political and Cabinet Department be pleased to state -

Does the government aware that Chakma has submitted memorandum for the Creation of Chakma U.T.?

S P E A K E R : As Pu Lal Thanhawla is absent, let us invite Mr Zalawma who has taken his charge to give him a response.

PU ZALAWMA  
MINISTER : Mr Speaker sir, yes, the government is aware that one chakma political party Chakma Jatiya Parisad has submitted a memorandum for the creation of chakma U.T. to Prime Minister of India.

DR. R.LALTHANGLIANA : Mr Speaker sir, regarding the above memorandum, the government of Mizoram Political and Cabinet Department has also taken initiative here is a letter from the Central Government to the government of Mizoram Political and Cabinet Department letter No.J-14011/691. Pol dt. 8th July, 1991, subject - A memorandum submitted to the Prime Minister of India for creation of Union Territory for Chakma residing in Mizoram. In inviting our references to your joint memorandum submitted to the then Prime Minister of India on the above mention subject I am directed to request you kindly to provide the following information to this Department for further consideration.

- (a) Area of Territory for which formation of U.T. Demanded.
- (b) Latest population figure as per 1991 census.
- (c) Back up of population community wise.

Memorandum was jointly submitted by different political parties it can be found in the government record. Chakma district is already created, will you still grant them U.T. ?

PU R.ROMAWIA : Mr Speaker sir, supplementary question, on 4th August 1991, when journalist were in tour to Delhi, they met respected Minister Mr Nirupam Chakma at Delhi. He told them that he had submitted a memorandum for the creation of Chakma U.T. to the then Prime Minister of India. Mr Speaker sir, why did this Minister still hold his office, he is a traitor who wanted to elide Mizoram for the chakmas? He met Prime Minister for the purpose more than once. He must be dropped off from his minister-ship. What is the opinion of our government to this minister.

PU TAWNLUIA : Mr Speaker sir, supplementary question. It is distinct that Chakmas wanted Union Territory. One Minister visited Delhi thrice in August for the creation of Chakma U.T. He held press conference with national news paper like statement and Hindustan times he says that the chakmas are demanding greater chakma's land. Why did the government sent one minister to the capital deal with the central government for the creation of Chakma's U.T. As we all are aware that most of the Chakmas come from Bangladesh, a foreigner infiltrating Mizoram. Creation of Chakma U.T. may lamper national integration in our state. Besides all the Mizo dislike the creation of Chakma U.T. we are also depply concerned about the already existing chakma District, if possible we would like to abolish even their district. Our government is encouraging the chakma's by creating new villages for them and granting them many benefits. Mr Speaker sir, today can we not pass a resolution to refuse the creation of Chakma U.T. in Mizoram?

PU H.RAMMAWI : Mr Speaker sir, supplementary question. Respected Minister is giving false information regarding the memorandum submitted by the Chakmas for the creation of U.T. In truth the memorandum was submitted jointly by all the political parties of the chakmas. Why did the elimination of Mizoram born from this Ministry?

Are we not elect for the protection of our state? Why should we elide Mizoram and given to foreigner? If we safe-guard our state it is letter to dismiss this ministry.

S P E A K E R : Now respected Minister may give response.

PU VANLALNGHAKA : Mr Speaker sir, as there is an allegation can the Minister kindly clarify it?

PU ZALAWMA  
MINISTER : Mr Speaker sir, none of us like to elide our state for other tribe. Regarding the memorandum submitted by one Chakma political party some member accused me of telling the House a false information and that the memorandum was jointly submitted by all the political parties of the Chakmas. It was submitted by Mr B.B.Chakma, the then President of Chakma Jalmiya Prasad and C.S.K. Chakma Ex-E.M. Chakma District Council, a copy of the memorandum is with me, if you want to see it you can do so. As it was jointly submitted by two persons, we can regard it as joint memorandum, moreover, it is learnt that these two persons belonged to the same political party. Regarding the creation of Chakma Union Territory our government is against it.

PU ZORAMTHANGA : Mr Speaker sir, supplementary question, if this ministry is against the creation of Chakma Union Territory, why did the ministry sent one Minister to Delhi for the purpose? Secondly, if this ministry takes the memorandum seriously is there any intention to drop this minister? as his activity is against the government's policy.

S P E A K E R : Let's invite our minister to clarify it.

PU ZALAWMA  
MINISTER : Mr Speaker sir, new U.T. will not be created from our state by any means.

PU TAWNLUIA : Mr Speaker sir, as this Minister submitted a memorandum, Home Minister also sent a message twice regarding the matter.

S P E A K E R : The concern Minister may give statement in his defence.

PU NIRUPAM CHAKMA  
MINISTER : Mr Speaker sir, it is embarrassing that some news paper and one political party issued a press release in which it is alledged that myself and some of my colleagues like Pu Hari and the C.E.M. Chakma District council have gone to Delhi on August demanding chakma U.T. Since, I was alleged to do such thing. Mr Speaker sir, let me clarify my stand on the issue. I have visited Delhi and met the Prime Minister and I have spoken about the Chakmas of the chitagong Hill tract and other tribes which our memorandum to be submitted to the Prime Minister clearly state. If I have demanded U.T. for the chakmas in Mizoram and if you can proof it I am ready to resign today. This is our memorandum and you can see it.

Besides, Mr Speaker sir, I would sincerely say that this C.J.P. are advocating such issues. In fact, we have been trying to put them in the shadow. C.J.P. is the political party which have no political base. They raise this issue just to make political atmosphere.

Lastly, it is my conviction, and I believe all of us should co-operate in this. I stood firmly on the opinion of our ministry. I am not for the Chakmas I am for the government of Mizoram.

Thank you.

S P E A K E R : Please be seated. Time is limited (R. Romawia : Mr Speaker sir, its objective is for the unification of all the chakma not only of Mizoram but also at Bangladesh. If there is unity, they must have identity in politics, economics and culture).

As there is an allegation the concerned Minister is also asked to give statement on his defence. He denied being taken initiative in retards to the submission of memorandum demanding Chakma U.T. to the Prime Minister. Besides, he also informed the House that he will resign if they can prove documentarily about their accusation of his submitting memorandum and summons Press Conference at Delhi. Are you not satisfied with your allegation? If you can prove documentarily or provide witness reconsideration about the case can be done later on. For

now we shall proceed to the next programme.

Question was raised yesterday during Zero Hour we shall now invite Revenue Minister Mr Zalawma to give statement of clarification.

PU ZALAWMA  
MINISTER

: Mr Speaker sir, I am please to mention that I have been invited to give clarification per our rules No. 60. Yesterday, some members charged me as illegally possessing some property. Let me clarify point by point.

Firstly, how I possessed my land at Sesawng. Whenever we mention about Sesawng I was filled with melancholy as it was my homeland where my forefather parents and elder brother rest in peace. I was born and brought up in this hamlet. It was God who had placed me in this prestigious position today. Due to insurgency in 1966. Our village was compelled to shift to grouping centre at Thingsulthliah with this we deserted our dear home town. (Pu Lalrawnliana : Don't mention about your family background, clarify the charges as a Minister).

Mr Speaker sir, it was necessary to state the family background as I was charged personally. At the end of 1970 when power was shifted from Mizo Union government to Congress I I was elected as C.E.M. during our tenure the government give permission and many people returned to their original home-town, once again Sesawng was occupied. As all our land and property were damaged I and my brother Masthanga asked our V.C. the place Maumitkawn as a joint holder to do mix farming with the kindness and mercifulness of the people the V.C. recommended our desire to Revenue Department. Therefore, Periodic Patta of 585 of 1976 was issued to us. Moreover, V.C. wants Maumitkawn for public Reserved area. We made negotiation with the V.C., they inherit my L.S.C. of 585 of 1976, I was given one small mountain range Belrawhkawn, and I gladly accept it. According to rules, L.S.C. was issued to me under G-94 of 1989. I also made fish pond at the extreme corner of my land for the fish pond reserve area, L.S.C. No. G-36 of 1991 was issued from the Revenue Department. Near my fish pond one widow. Pi Hrangeni of Sesawng had a small plot, with her permission I purchased her plot on 6.2.1991.

Regarding deplicity of Periodic Patta of 585 of 1976. One member of Sesawng Village. Pu Chawngkhuma now settle at Chaltlang thought Maumitkawn as vacant land asked this land and a Patta was issued under Patta no. 84 of 1987. When the people of Sesawng are aware of it they submit a complaint to Revenue Department.

Clarification of the second charges made by Pu Rawna regarding the hand of Zemabawk Lunglei Road can be stated as - This land was given to me by my father Matkhama in 1970. No pass was issued in my name as it was my father's land. However, late in 1975 I ask Periodic Patta, it was issued under House Pass No. Z. 19, 1977. Revenue of Rs. 10/- only was paid regularly per year since then. In 1977 Chief Engineer Pushpak came to me and asked me to lend my land for the construction of a temporary school building for B.R.T.F. children. I accepted it. In 1984 when Zemabawk was declared as town area, we were allowed to make L.S.C. I also submit my application to Revenue Department, then my House Pass of Z, 19, 1977 was converted into L.S.C. AZL 1802, 1984. As time emerges, in 1987 when B.R.T.F. decided to settle permanently in this area, my land was included among the land acquired. The Revenue Department then published a notification under Land Acquisition Act 1994. Having proper LSC and no dispute area, my land was included among the acquisition. Mr Speaker sir, the charges about the duplicity of my land with Pu Lalsiama and Pu Tlangchama are false. Their name were not included in the L.S.C. issued by Revenue Department.

Thirdly, regarding the charges against the beneficiary of the compensation of the intended 500 bighas land Acquisition of 97 BN B.S.F., land between Sairang and Police Training Centre. I would like to inform the House that neither myself nor any of my relatives are involved in the beneficiary. Besides, the charges against Pu Darzika A.S.O.+I is also false. The information received by our fellow member is wrong information.

Thank you.

S P E A K E R : The Minister had made definite clarification against the charges. Let us cease the discussion about the matter today.

We shall now proceed to our next business Pu R. Tlanghmingthanga had resolution to move, let us invite him.

PU R. TLANGHMINGTHANGA : Mr Speaker sir, I moved my resolution - 'In order to investigate and find out the person responsible in the corruption and misappropriation of funds. The government of Mizoram should constitute an enquiry commission comprising of MLA'S of different political Parties as members'.

As stated in the above resolution Mr Speaker sir, there are many misappropriation which required amendment reconstruction in the working of the government, let me mention something.

- 10 -

First, as we are well aware of the doubtful purchase or acquisition of Mualpui ram by our government. The people's heart are wounded by the manner in which the land was acquired. The original owner was willing to sell his land for Rs. 12 lakhs. However, our kind government acquired the same land from its new owner at Rs. 65 lakhs only what a great difference? It reveals that some misapprehension occurred here. All the documents can be provided even by opposition M.L.A. Mr Speaker sir besides, regarding the acquisition of land by the government at Hrangchalkawn Lunglei. The land was meant for agriculture Department to set up an Agricultural Farming. Negotiation are made by the department, they proposed to acquire a suitable side ranging 20 bighas of land comparing the land of Mr Dokhuma and Thangkima costing Rs. 3 lakhs 50 thousands only. Moreover, before handing over the demanded fund to these two persons some well-to-do persons interfered, and the less desirable land unsuitable for cultivation or construction of building was acquired at a high rate of Rs. 23 lakhs, 35 thousands with an area of only 13 bighas from the name mention below -

1. Zodingsangi L.S.C. No. 272/90  
Area 22100 sq.ft. for Rs. 22,900/- only
2. Chalrosangi L.S.C. No. 277/90, 37000 sq.ft.  
for Rs. 2,29,840/ only
3. Pi Rosangzuali, L.S.C. No. 278/90  
Area 33,450 sq.ft. for Rs. 3,84,8000/-
4. Pi J.N. Dintluangi L.S.C. No. 276/90  
Area 32,327 sq.ft for Rs. 3,04,395/-
5. Zodintluanga L.S.C. No 273/90  
Area 29,000 sq.ft. for Rs. 2,52,150/-
6. Lalbiakthanga L.S.C. 10036/90  
Area 13,800 sq.ft. for Rs. 1,50,800/-
7. Thuamchhingi L.S.C. No. 10035/90  
Area 13,800 sq.ft. for Rs. 1,07,640/-

since, it is time consuming I would not mention all. Citing only the above also, it is an urgent requirement to set up an enquiry commission to sort out misuse of government's fund. The acquirement of Pu Rinzawna's land also required enquiry. How can the land be multiplied or expand itself from 5.20 bighas to 12.8 bighas ? Compensation was claimed for 12.8 bighas of land.

The procurement of rice also needs investigation. From a good source, it is known that the rice we procure before reaching its destination exchanged are by rich Mamawii they take the good quality and sent a bad one to Mizoram by making negotiation with authority. That is why we received bad quality of rice, that are unsuitable to eat. Even then, as we are not self-sufficient we have to consume it. A-part from this, there is some misdeeds in the making of ration card. There are many surplus ration card, it is also one of the source of corruption. Y.M.A. and V.C. are entrusted to make ration card

...79/-



but their recommendation are unaccepted as authority and retailer wants to do misdeeds. Many of the Government's funds are benefited by few people illegally, there it is necessary to set up an enquiry commission on the transition and distribution of rice.

Mr Speaker sir, in our government there are many efficient and big officers, I.G.P. is one of them. This I.G.P. constructed a big building at Republic Road. It seems that Police Department are very expert in work distribution from early morning till evening Police are found working on his building. Besides, he also engaged weaver in his home who takes her pay from the Police Department, is it possible to do such thing? Mr Speaker sir, the construction of his building went very smoothly and completed the building in a short period; people called this building as corruption building. Now this building is rented by Anti-Corruption Department. This Department is unable to sort out any miscreants, as they are controlled by some authority behind the scene. It is also necessary to set up an enquiry commission.

Lastly, Mr Speaker sir, I request every member in the House to pass my resolution.

Thank you.

S P E A K E R : Mr R. Tlanghmingthanga had moved his resolution and also stated what he thought to be necessary for the development of our state. Now we shall have a discussion on his resolution, ten minutes will be given to each member, Let's start the discussion.

PU LALRAWNLIANA : Mr Speaker sir, first I will state one incident that occurs in my constituency last August. (P.C.ZORAMSANGLIANA : Our resolution was about the misuse of government's funds.. ) there was a bus accident where five (5) persons lost their lives and fifteen were seriously injured. As we all are aware that drunken driving is illegal and is a sin. This driver was found to be every drunk from the previous day. On that day also he was still very drunk many people requested not to drink, but as he was detailed he had to. As a result many precious lives were lost and injured besides, properties were damaged. Authority were also responsible for this accident, why did they detail this drunkard to drive? We can also say that the accident was predicable since the driver being very drunk. An enquiry Commission needs to be set up to find out the reason of the accident.

Secondly, regarding NLUP, more than five thousand five hundred families choose piggery. According to the guide line each family had to keep at

least four pig, unfortunately our go

- 80 -

least four pigs, unfortunately our government could not supply them their requirement. They constructed only the Pig sty, moreover, they were given Rs. 1,800/- (one thousand eight hundred in cash, illegally. One piglet cost around eight hundred to one thousand, how can they purchase four piglet with the given amount of funds? Therefore, they also utilised the funds for their other requirement instead of purchasing the piglet. To be successful the government should set up an enquiry commission and made proper plan for the success of this policy.

Thirdly, regarding the construction of Ramhlun Sport Complex, Rs. 60 lakhs were sanctioned and Rs. 47 lakhs were already drawn, Mr Chairman sir, it appears that the contractor had drawn much funds without completing the work, the case needs considaration.

PU AICHHINGA : Mr Speaker sir, I am pleased to discuss this resolution and hope that we shall pass the same.

First, our government had acquired land for Transport Department amounting to Rs. 74 lakhs at at Silchar. I am very please that we had permanent land here, nevertheless, sources reveals that there is some irregularities behind the acquisition. I request our government to set up an enquiry commission to find out the truth.

Secondly, at Kolosib College compound one Minister had private land, how can he possess this land, an investigation is necessary.

Last year Agriculture Department had incurred Rs. 30 lakhs only for repair of vehicles of Aizawl District alone. How can they spent such a huge amount of funds for the repair of vehicles? In P.W.D. also Pu Piandenga had hired stone crusher, but did not pay the amount, why? Besides, Health Department also, summoned tender to repair four building. However when they finished the repair, two buildings just vanished again why?. At Chhinga Veng also Rs. 65,000/- only was sanctioned for the construction of Sub-Centre, but till today the work had not started. Therefore, an enquiry commission comprising of MLA'S of different political parties is greatly demanded.

Mr Chairman, sir, there are also many other problem which required to be solved. Since, the government is utilising its funds in a wrong direction. For instance, the land acquisition made by Pringting and Stationary Department the area was 5.20 bighas, then it becomes 12.8 bighas amounting to Rs. 18 lakhs, to me it is a huge corruption. Again, in Health Department, fire-extinguisher was supplied one for Rs. 5,000/- only the actual cost was only Rs. 700/- what a great difference? Besides, Mr Chairman sir, in Police Department there is Police Association, but all the leaders of the association were transfered to Saiha. There must be something behind

...81/-

the transfer. Therefore, to find out all the above mention points as themover of our resolution state, an enquiry commission needed to be set up in order to prevent corruption and the misuse of government's funds in various departments. Lastly, I also request every member to pass this resolution.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN : There may be many who would like to discuss, now we shall have recess, our meeting will be resumed at 2.00 PM.

"2.00 P.M."

S P E A K E R : We shall continue our discussion let's invite Pu T.C.Pachhunga.

PU T.C.PACHHUNGA : Mr Speaker sir, I am please that our honourable member had muvoed this resolution. His aim and intension is good but it may be a burden for the government to set up an enquiry commission in all the departments. There are Vigulance Department and Anti-Corruption department to investigate and take necessary action for irrigularities in various department. Besides, there are also Auditor and in Legislation Department is Public Account Committee to find out and sorted out problems. Therefore, it may not be practicable to find specific facts in all the departments by the enquiry commission. I vehemently requssted our respected member to withdraw his resolution.

Thank you.

PU J.H.ROTHUAMA : Mr Speaker sir, I am pleased to mention that our honourable member had moved this resolution. I also want to point out two points that requires an enquiry in my constituency.

First, on Khawhai-East, Lungdar Road, funds of rupees 50 lakhs sanctioned in 1989-90 and Rs. 60 lakhs in 1990-91 and Rs. 50 lakhs in 1991-92, consequently, their progress of work is very slow they did not even completed one K.M. also, some irrigularities is behind its progress.

Secondly, regarding the construction of Khawhai Hospital work order was issued back in 1989, more-over till today the main building is not yet started what is the reason? I request our government to re-consider and takes necessary action on it.

Thank you.

PU ZORAMTHANGA : Mr Speaker sir, our resolution was moved as we are bewildered due to the inefficient administration and the existence of much corruption and misuse of power. We lost hope with Anti-corruption department. They could not do any work since they rent corruption building.

I would mention only one point i.e. about our Chief Minister we are now confused whose Chief Minister he is for he spent much of his time at Delhi, today also he is absent, and is at the Capital. Our government is not government of the people rather it is families government. The three top post in our state are handle by them. Chief Secretary and Electoral Officer is the brother of our honourable Chief Minister besides I.G.P. is also his brother-in-law.

In Mizoram House also vehicles are not available for us, but it is always in the commitment of the relative of our Chief Minister. They were given V.I.P. treatment. Regarding contract work also all the big contract works are done by them. In public service commission the chairman being the father of our Chief Minister's sister in-law. He does not fear neither God nor man. Our government is run by the royal family, where nepotism exist. Mr speaker sir, since, we are in great perplexity, an enquiry commission is needed to enquire about the way herurun this government.

Thank you.

EU H.RAMMAWI : Mr Speaker sir, it is pleasing that our honourable member had moved this resolution. This resolution will be of much help in solving our present financial crisis. About ten to fifteen Enquiry Commission may be enough to investigate the various problems face by different department. Mr Speaker, if we set up an Enquiry Commission I am sure that 50% of corruption will be protected or prevented. When they detect the truth necessary action may be taken by our government. Now also there are many misappropriation in every departments. For instance, the acquisition of land by Printing and Stationary, acquisition of land by Transport Department and Mualpui Ram by Police Department. In the acquisition of these land many irregularities involved. Therefore, Mr Speaker sir, to set up an enquiry commission is of great demand. I request all of you to pass this resolution and if necessary to change the wording specifically I hope the mover may not contradict to it. For the upliftment of our state every member in the House had to take initiative.

Thank You.

S P E A K E R : We shall call upon Pu Rualchhina followed by Pu Saikapthianga.

PU RUALCHHINA

: Mr Speaker sir, thank you for give me this opportunity. As we are all aware that recently corruption and misappropriation of funds increase greatly in the functioning of every department. In order to rectify the existing drifting in the road of corruption it is necessary to set up an enquiry to set up an enquiry commission to investigate in accurate matter which takes place in every department. Let me also state some points of its necessities.

First regarding Land Aquisition made by the government, in every of their acquired land irrregularities of in the utilization of funds involved in it. In the case of Acquisition of land at Mualpui Ram, Chawnpui ram, Zemabawk and Sesawng ram, Hrangchalkawn ram and Leimak ram etc. Mr Speaker sir, it is necessary to set up an enquiry commission to investigate the inaccurate acquisition of land by the government.

Secondly, coming to the selection of M.B.B.S., B.D.S. and B.E. it's very sunfair, son of the soil are not given much priority. It also needs investigation.

The procurement of rice and its distribution also need investigation with growth of population our supply also increases, inspite of this our retailer take the opportunity, illegally made extra ration card. Mr Speaker sir, this is corruption the government have to stop it. In Aizawl Town alone there are about one lakhs fifty thousand extra card. Can we neglect it? Henceforth, it needs immediate investigation and abolish the mal-practices.

Thank you.

PU SAIKAPTHIANGA  
MINISTER

: Mr Chairman sir, our resolution appears to be quite good but I don't think the mover will move it if the was in the Treasury Bench. I therefore, support not to pass this resolution.

Mentioned about the acquisition of Hrangchalkawn ram is frequently heard, let me clarify the matter. Negotiation are made with Pu Dokhama and Thangkima at the gegining, however, on the 7.12.90, we receive a message from Lunglei informing that the land was toosmall, as a result new or other land was acquired. Besides, the vehicle repair bill incurred by the department was said to be too high. Moreover, with the increase of prices in motor parts and labour charges the bill was not too high comparing to the number of vehicle the department had possessed, since they have 114 vehicles.

Mr Chairman sir, I am please that we have this wonderful apportunity to discuss our resolution. All the blame imposed upon the government by honourable opposition members may not be true. Never-

theless, it reveals that the government commit some mistake or other in the functioning machinaries, I hope our error will corrected and function smoothly with the cooperation of all the opposition members. Criticism in a constructive way may prevent the government from walking astray. Lastly, I request, respected member to withdraw his resolution.

Thank you.

PU P.C.ZORAMSANGLIANA : Mr Speaker sir, our resolution may not be appropriate to pass as it is. But it gives us a chance to express our feeling and ideas. This gives me reminiscent of the time when we discussed about private member resolution on the setting up of enquiry commission for Sialsuk kawng. Our chief Minister (R.T.P.) at that time hold the House the consequences of its setting up we don't trust our officers and fellow lay warkers. Therefore, enquiry was not set up. In the functioning of the government its faults are always prominent whereas many of the good things they performed are behind the scene.

For instance, there are scandal on the selection of M.B.B.S. and B.D.S., one person selected for B.D.S. at Gauhati failed P.U.C. in theory. Many who are eligible for the post are neglected why did the government sent uneligible candidates for the post? Regarding the selection of M.C.S. also, one person who failed B.A. was among the selected. Mr Chairman sir, these also needs investigation, it may not be possible to set up an enquiry commission in all the problem, but to prevent misappropriation, I request our Minister and excutive authority to take immediate action assure the House to take necessary action. I also plead Mr R.Tlanghmingthanga to withdraw his resolution without taking votes.

Thank you.

PU LIANSUAMA  
MINISTER : Mr Chairman sir, thank you for giving me this opportunity. I also support the previous speaker's i.e. Pu P.C.Zoramsangliana's stand on the resolution.

First, I would like to criticize the resolution since it is not definite according to our rules no 123 B a resolution must be "It shall be clearly and precisely express and one definite issue" Moreover, our resolution is not definite as it seems to include every allegations made against the Govt. by the opposition member in connection with such as NLUP, land acquisition, Bus accident at Sialsuk etc. Our Speaker is committing a mistake by admitting this resolution.

...85/-

Let me clarify the charges made by Pu Lalrawnliana on NLUP, during MNF Ministry they proclaim Aibawk and the surrounding area as Jhum Control area, those who choose poultry farming were supplied with Thailand poultry unfortunately all of them died of disease, the beneficiary suffered greatly. To prevent such occurrence the beneficiary of piggery plead us to give them in cash in place of supplying them with pig. We practice this as an experiment if its's not good we shall met use the formula.

Regarding MC.S. examination there are many rumours. The truth is, all the candidates had passed B.A. but a particular person they mentioned possed 2nd year B.A. but failed Hounours. That does not bar her selection as she possessed the required qualification i.e. B.A.

Again, coming to our resolution as I have mentioned earlier, it is not definite. In parliament also they set up an enquiry commission in a specific case like. In the assassination of Mrs Indira Gandhi. Thakur commission, on the charges of corruption against Ramkrishna Hedge the then Chief Minister of Karnataka- Guldip Singh Commission and so on. Therefore, as our resolution is too Nague it is helter not to paas this resolution as it is.

Thank you.

PU VANLALNGENA

: Mr Chairman sir, thank you for giving me this opprotunity to discuss our resolution. The resolution seems good but when examined thoroughly it is not practicable since it does not mention any definite ministry, we can refer to P.C. Ministry or M.N.F. or Congress I Ministry. It is impossible to investigate all the corruption charges against the aforesaid ministry, If "present" is mention here. It may be possible to set up enquiry commission. Any way, why did our honourable member charged our government as corrup and misappropriating funds? Recalling the experiances of the past 20 years, this is the only ministry who give abundant funds for the poor and needy providing more than 80,000/- families with roof and many families settle through NLUP can we not regard this ministry as government of the people, as all the untrodden and poverty stricken people pleases with the government's action. This recicals our budget/funds had reached every corner of the state especially the poor benefited it. The people also wish our government to prosper more and more.

The charges made against respected minister regarding his possession of land is also baseless. He possessed his Sesawng ram back in 1976 with proper L.S.C. and non of his daughter or relative possessed land at Zemabawk. We must be cantious when making charges againt any member of the house. During the short tennure of M.N.F. they have slaughtered a deer from the only Zoo we

had in our state, can we not regard this as corruption or misuse of power?

Lastly, many of us, had criticize NLUP, remember it is an important instrument to uplift the masses, we won't stop allocating budget lender this head.

Thank you.

PU C.L.RUALA  
MINISTER

: Mr Chairman sir, our resolution is not specific as mentioned earlier, citing our rules no. 123 'A' "It must be one definite issue" However, our resolution is too vague, we mingled with any charges we can made against the government's functioning in regard to NLUP, Land Acquisition. Procurement of rice and its lifting and ration card and Police Department ect. According to information received the resolution was admitted in the absence of Speaker, when there was 10 days ahead of session. We are not cautious enough while admitting the resolution.

I would like to clarify some of the charges made by honourable member under my portfolio. First, regarding the acquisition of land at Mualpui it was acquired for Rupees sixty one lakhs and sixty-two thousands only. Not Rs. 65 lakhs. Secondly, the charges of I.G.P. on the use of Police Vehicle in constructing his House, there is a rule that any one can hire government's heavy vehicle when it is on off. He also used on hire basis. Thirdly, the government is not aware that all the leader's of Police Association have been transferred to Saiha. Officially there is no Police Association, now they submit an application denoting their desire to constitute an Association. We shall consider the matter soon.

Today, we have to consider many things before setting up an enquiry commission, there are many scandal in the previous ministry also - cigarettes Scandal, Lottery scandal, etc. If we have to investigate all the charges, it may be like who will belled the cat? Hence, it is not suitable to pass this resolution.

Thank You.

PU K.VANLALAUVA

: Mr Chairman sir, this resolution refers only to investigation of corruption and misappropriation of government's funds which occurs in different department. Let me also state few point that requires investigation. First, regarding the allotment of land to 14 personel illegally, since the land was acquired by the government for ICAR. Secondly, the actual area of the acquisition of Land by B.S.F. at Sairang ram was 20 bighas, but when it was acquired finally the area extended or become 66.48 bighas. In the clarification statement of Pu Zalawma regarding his possession of Zemabawk land, according to him he owned the



land in 1964. However, this particular land was already possessed by one person back in the 13th August, 1962.

There is also a controversial question on the purchasement of one vehicle by one department's Secretary. The number of this Vehicle is MZ 01056, moreover, this vehicle is not in Mizoram. This also needs investigation.

Mr Speaker sir, in Health Department, there is insufficiency of Staff, at Civil Hospital Aizawl the number of staff was same as when there was 150 beds. Now there are 300 beds, may be due to this O.T. remain closed.

Mr Speaker sir, our resolution is very important and it is necessary to pass it as there are many circumstances that required investigation. In the case of the construction of P.W.D. I.B. and staff quarter at Bulfek, the work had been completed but they cannot take the bill. Contrary to this, for the construction of Pu Hawla Indoor Stadium fund of Rs. 150 lakhs was sanctioned and Rs. 41 lakhs was already given to the contractor whom the government hide his name. Besides, for the construction of A.R. Lammual Rs. 150 lakhs was allotted, works progress smoothly, but now it had known who the contractor is. All these needs enquiry. I therefore, request every member to pass this resolution.

Thank you.

PU TAWNLUIA

: Mr Speaker sir, our resolution is very important. I therefore request all of you to pass it.

Regarding the rehabilitation of M.N.F. returnee's and the implementation of Peace Accord it is necessary to set up an enquiry commission under the chairman-ship of Chief Minister or the minister in-charge so that rapic action can be taken.

The untimately transfer of MCS Officer also needs an investigation, in the case of Liaison Officer also, it is always desirable to transfer them when there is no academic session of education for their children. Besides, it is always appropriate to post Mizo Officer for Liaison Officer.

Mr Speaker sir, today it is very unfortunate that our Chief Minister is not with us while we are discussing an important resolution.

Thank you.

PU SAINGHAKA

: Mr Speaker sir, I will not mention whether to pass this resolution or not. In Mizoram funds

are used at the appropriate place by various departments. Every department submit proposal to Planning Department, from here it is processed to Finance Department. Funds cannot be utilized without the approval of the Finance Department.

Our honourable Chief Minister is absent today because of the needs and requirement of our State.

Lastly, we cannot pass this resolution as this is against the rules. According to our rule no. 123 (a) "It must be clearly and precisely expressed and shall raise one definite issue" according to rules 126 also many of the issue are subjudice. Therefore, it is impossible to pass this resolution.

Thank you.

PU ZOSIAMA PACHUAU : Mr Speaker Sir, there is a difference in opinion regarding with the ruling and opposition members regarding our resolution.

Now we are utilising Rs 347 crores, but we hardly found circulation of funds, it circulate among some 40 well-to-do persons. Every month Rs 10 crores reached State Bank from Reserve Bank of India. From Rs 10 crores Rs 4 crores is for the remuneration of government servant, where are Rs 6 crores? Besides, Rs. 36.4 crores had to reach Mizoram per month, however only Rs. 10 crores reached its destiny, does the other host their way or are they being diverted by some authority?

Mr Speaker sir, the people in my constituency are hewildred due to poor supply of ration. I also write to the concerned Minister on this regards, and persued it consequently. But we receive no any reply. Our resolution may not be a wise one, but the perplexity of the people hurt me. Therefore, we have to find a way out of our existing problem.

Mr Speaker sir, it is reasonable to move this resolution due to the scarcity of funds inspite of our huge budget of Rs. 347 crores we are utilising. There is misuse of funds such as in Lunglei Greater water supply scheme, the estimate value was Rs. 14 crores the contractor had already drawn more than Rs. 6 crores, but they have not finished even one tenth of the work. For the construction of Bairabi road i.e. 38 Km. more than Rs. 3 crores is utilised comparing to the work and the fund it is in comensurable. In the morning session also honourable Revenue Minister unable to give me proper response on how can the area of the land acquired by Printing and Stationary Department were extended from 5½ bighas to 12.8 bighas? Nevertheless, it may be impossible to pass this resolution as it is. Mr Speaker sir, I suggest to set up at least one enquiry commission either on the acquirement of Mualpui Ram or Chawnpui ram or Hrangchalkawn. I request all the members to accept my resolution.

Thank you.

...89/-

S P E A K E R : If you have any suggestion please state it. Let us invite Pu R. Tlanghmingthanga the mover of the resolution for 5 minutes as our honourable Chief Minister is not here we shall invite Parliamentary Affairs Minister for 5 minutes to wind up the discussion. Then we shall take votes.

PU VAIVENGA : Mr Speaker sir, I support your suggestion to give 5 minutes each to the mover of the resolution and Parliamentary Minister to wind up the discussion  
Thank you.

PU R. TLANGHMINGTHANGA : Mr Speaker sir, thank you for giving me this opportunity. From the speech of every member it appears that ruling and the opposition member appreciate my resolution. If it is impossible to set up an enquiry commission to investigate all the irregularities that occurs in our state. I suggest to set up at least one. Enquiry commission composing of the MLA'S of different Political Parties. I therefore, request honourable members to pass this amended resolution.  
Thank you.

S P E A K E R : Pu H. Thansanga.

DR. H. THANSANGA  
MINISTER : Mr Speaker sir, our discussion is very interesting. But we must be cautious on the language we are using some are unparliamentary.

This resolution opens a chance for members to express their ideas and points out the needs for the re-construction of our system of government and its function in same way or other. It seems that they all appreciate our resolution, I also admire it. But we can not fulfil all our desire, as it is always not best to achieve all our goals.

Mr Speaker sir, today, let me express the reason for not passing this resolution. First, as Pu Vanlalngena has pointed out earlier, it does not give any definite ministry. If we have to set up an enquiry commission for all the irregularities found during P.C. Ministry, Congress I Ministry M.N.F. ministry and the present congress I ministry, it is impracticable. Secondly, as stated in our rules, this resolution is not definite it is too vague. As the mover of the resolution suggest for

amendment, according to rules for amendment it must be at least on one clear day. Therefore, his suggestion is rejected due to lack of time. In addition to this, citing our rules the resolution also must be free from defamation moreover, our present resolution is about the defaming of our government. Mr Speaker, I therefore inform the House that we cannot pass this resolution. Any way I hope we all make use of our discussion.

Thank you.

S P E A K E R : We have discussion for a long time, now as we are executive body to execute vote shall be taken for the resolution. (Pu Vaivenga : Mr Speaker sir, can be not withdraw his resolution without taking votes.)

PU F. TLANGHMINGTHANGA : Mr Speaker sir, I can not withdraw my resolution.

S P E A K E R : If he cannot withdraw his resolution let's take vote. Those who agree to pass this resolution may state it and those who disagree also may state it.

It seems that those who disagree seem to be more in number. Henceforth, we cannot pass this private member's resolution.

Today, I am glad that we have a pleasant time, as there is no other business for today, we shall close our meeting. It will be resumed on monday 7th Oct. 1991 at 10:30 A.M.

Meeting Adjourned  
at 4:17 P.M.

...91/-